TOFIELD, B. C. (1982). Intercalation Chemistry, edited by A. J. JACOBSON & M. S. WHITTINGHAM, pp. 181-227. New York: Academic Press.

- WALKER, J. R. & CATLOW, C. R. A. (1982). J. Phys. C, 15, 6151–6161.
- WANG, J. C. (1980). J. Chem. Phys. 73, 5786-5795.

WHITTINGHAM, M. S. & HUGGINS, R. A. (1971). J. Electrochem. Soc. 118, 1–6.

WOLF, D. (1979). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 40, 757-773.

- YAO, Y. F. & KUMMER, J. T. (1967). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29, 2453-2474.
- ZENDEJAS, M. A. & THOMAS, J. O. (1990). Phys. Scr. In the press.

Acta Cryst. (1991). B47, 643-650

# A Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Study of the Ion Exchange of $Cd^{2+}$ into $Ag^+$ $\beta$ -Alumina

BY KRISTINA EDSTRÖM AND JOHN O. THOMAS

Institute of Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Box 531, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

AND GREGORY C. FARRINGTON

Department of Materials Science and Engineering K1, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

(Received 29 May 1990; accepted 7 March 1991)

### Abstract

diffraction Conventional single-crystal X-ray (Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 295 K has been used to study the ionic distribution of five compositions of the mixed-cation system  $Ag^+/Cd^{2+}$  $\beta$ -alumina,  $Ag_{1\cdot 22-y}Cd_{y/2}Al_{11}O_{17\cdot 11}$ , y = 0.00, 0.10, 0.41, 0.99 and 1.11.  $M_r = 702.1$ , 697.1, 680.7, 651.1 and 644.8, F(000) = 674.4, 670.0, 655.4, 629.0 and 623.4. The compounds are made by the controlled ion exchange of  $Cd^{2+}$  ions into  $Ag^{+}\beta$ -alumina from a CdCl<sub>2</sub> melt at 873 K. The structure comprises a hexagonal framework of spinel-type blocks of aluminium oxide separating two-dimensional conduction planes with a spacing of  $\sim 11$  Å. All atoms in the spinel framework follow the centrosymmetric hexagonal space group  $P6_3/mmc$ , Z = 2, with cell dimensions a = 5.5914 (3), 5.6032 (2), 5.5959 (2), 5.5896 (5) and 5.5900 (7) Å and c = 22.430 (4), 22.504 (3), 22.458 (1), 22.434 (8) and 22.396 (6) Å for v = 0.00, 0.10, 0.41, 0.99 and 1.11, respectively. Final  $wR(F_{a}^{2})$  values are 0.0796, 0.0693, 0.0698, 0.0874 and 0.0853, respectively. In Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina, the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions occupy three different 6(h) sites: one near the 2(d) site, Ag(1); one close to the 2(b) site, Ag(3); and a third site, Ag(2), between these two sites, close to Ag(1). The occupations are 41, 33 and 26% for Ag(1), Ag(2) and Ag(3), respectively. The  $Cd^{2+}$  ions enter a new 6(h) site, not previously occupied by Ag<sup>+</sup> ions. At low replacement ( $\sim 8\%$ ), the Ag(1)-site occupation is unchanged. By the time  $\sim 34\%$  of the  $Ag^+$  ions have been replaced, three  $Ag^+$  sites are replaced with almost equal amounts by  $Cd^{2+}$  ions. When 81 and 91% of the  $Ag^+$  ions have been replaced, Ag(1) is the only site still containing  $Ag^+$ ions. The implications of these results are discussed with reference to the general aspects of ion exchange in  $\beta$ -alumina.

#### Introduction

The Na<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina structure offers a unique opportunity for studying the behaviour of mixed cations with different radii and valence because different monovalent cation types can be accommodated into the same structural host (Yao & Kummer, 1967). The structure comprises loosely packed conduction layers which interleave rigid spinel blocks. More recently, Na<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina has been exploited as a host for probing the structural and chemical properties of mixed-cation systems, typically, the nonadditive behaviour of ionic conductivity of mixedalkali systems which is a phenomenon commonly referred to as the mixed alkali effect, e.g.  $Na^+-K^+$ and Na<sup>+</sup>-Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina (Bruce, Howie & Ingram,  $Na^+-Li^+ \beta$ -alumina (Tofield & 1986) and Farrington, 1979).

In contrast, divalent ions are found to be reluctant to exchange into  $\beta$ -alumina and the resulting compounds are poor ionic conductors (unlike the situation in the related compound  $\beta''$ -alumina, which accepts a diversity of divalent ions). It has been found that Cd<sup>2+</sup> is the only divalent cation type which can exchange totally into  $\beta$ -alumina and that this process can serve as a valuable structural probe

0108-7681/91/050643-08\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography

of the Na<sup>+</sup>-conductivity mechanism. Studies of the Na<sup>+</sup>-Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina system (Sutter, Cratty, Saltzberg & Farrington, 1983; Catti, Cazzanelli, Ivaldi & Mariotto, 1987; Edström, Thomas & Farrington, 1988, 1991) have thus shown that the local structure of the Na<sup>+</sup> ions is critical to the Na<sup>+</sup>-conduction mechanism. Since it is known that the distribution of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions in the conduction plane of  $\beta$ -alumina (Roth, 1972; Boilot, Colomban, Collongues, Collin & Comès, 1980) is qualitatively different from that of Na<sup>+</sup> ions in Na<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina (Roth, Reidinger & LaPlaca, 1976; Reidinger, 1979; Edström et al., 1991) the suggestion arises that we can extend our understanding of conduction mechanisms in  $\beta$ -alumina by also following the effect of the systematic substitution of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions into the Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina system. The Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions can be assumed to be trapped in the structure at temperatures below 700 K (Sutter et al., 1983) and the ionic mobility can be attributed solely to  $Ag^+$ ions. This assumption will be reflected in the structural arrangement of ions in the conduction plane. This method is also a useful approach for resolving structural overlap found in an X-ray diffraction investigation.

It should finally be pointed out that several mechanisms are possible for the compensation of the excess positive charge in the conduction plane of  $\beta$ -alumina: a trivalent aluminium ion in the spinel block can be exchanged for a divalent ion; alternatively, a Roth-Reidinger defect can exist involving an extra oxygen ion in the conduction plane structurally supported by interstitial aluminium ions in the spinel block (Roth *et al.* 1976; Reidinger, 1979). A combination of both mechanisms can also occur. In this study, only structures with Roth-Reidinger-defect compensation are considered.

Furthermore, it should be noted that  $Ag^+$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions possess the same number of electrons and cannot, therefore, be distinguished explicitly in an X-ray diffraction experiment.

#### Experimental

### Crystal preparation

Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina was prepared from Na<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina (obtained from Union Carbide Corporation) by ion exchange in AgNO<sub>3</sub> at 575 K. The degree of exchange was monitored by using <sup>22</sup>Na as a radiotracer. These crystals were then annealed overnight at 775 K. This procedure was repeated several times. The fully exchanged Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina crystals were then suspended in a CdCl<sub>2</sub> melt at 873 K for different periods of time. The degree of exchange was monitored by careful weight measurements (Table 1). The crystals were then annealed again at 923 K to

Table	1. C	compo	osition	of	the	five	different	crysi	tals
studied	in	the	syster	n A	$g_{1\cdot 2}$	22 vC	$d_{\nu/2}Al_{11}$	<b>D</b> <sub>17-11</sub>	as
ol	btain	ed fr	om difj	ferer	it ar	ialysi	is techniq	jues	

у	Formula derived from diffraction experiment (O <sub>1711</sub> assumed)	Weight measurement	Linear absorption measurement	Microprobe analysis
0.00	AgAl. O.7.11		0.00(2)	0.0(1)
0.10	$Ag_{112}Cd_{0.05}AI_{11}O_{17.11}$	0.1(1)	0.10(2)	0.1 (1)
0.41	Ag <sub>0.81</sub> Cd <sub>0.20</sub> Al <sub>11</sub> O <sub>17.11</sub>	0.4(1)	0.42(2)	0.4 (1)
0.99	Ag <sub>0.23</sub> Cd <sub>0.49</sub> Al <sub>11</sub> O <sub>17.11</sub>	0.7 (1)	0.74 (2)	0.7 (1)
1.11	Ag <sub>0.12</sub> Cd <sub>0.55</sub> Al <sub>11</sub> O <sub>17.11</sub>	1.2(1)	1.12 (2)	1.0 (1)

allow the  $Cd^{2+}$  ions to distribute evenly. Five appropriate compositions were selected for the X-ray diffraction study. As extra checks on composition, the ratio of  $Ag^+$  to  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in the crystals was also measured by microprobe analysis, an X-ray linear absorption measurement and chemical analysis (Table 1). The average compositions from the analyses were assumed in the refinements.

#### Data collection

Experimental details are summarized in Table 2 and a few remarks may be added. The quality of each crystal used was checked with Laue films. Single-crystal intensities were measured at 295 K with a Stoe automatic four-circle diffractometer controlled by a MicroVAXII computer. Integrated intensities were measured using an  $\omega/2\theta$  step scan (80 steps) and corrected for background (Lehmann & Larsen, 1974); the correction was modified to allow for the  $K\alpha$  doublet according to Blessing, Coppens & Becker (1974). Five standard reflections were used in each case to scale intensities and standard deviations (McCandlish, Stout & Andrews, 1975). Lp and absorption corrections were applied, the latter using an explicit description of crystal size and shape. Cell parameters were determined from a least-squares fit to observed  $2\theta$  angles prior to data collection. Systematic absences indicated the possible space groups  $P6_3/mmc$  and  $P6_3mc$ .

#### Refinements

Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina has been studied previously (Boilot, Colomban, Collin & Comès, 1980) (see Fig. 1). Parameters from this study (within space group  $P6_3/mmc$ ) were used as starting parameters in the refinement of Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina (Figs. 2a and 2f). Different models describing the Ag<sup>+</sup> ion near BR (Beevers-Ross site) [Ag(1) at BRD1 site] were tested and a split-atom model was found to be the most appropriate. The same test was carried out for Ag(3) at the aBR (anti-Beevers-Ross) site and here also a split-atom model was better. Thermal vibrations and/or displacements were described with anisotropic  $\beta_{ij}$  tensors for all Ag<sup>+</sup> ions. The Roth-Reidinger defect was included with the extra O(6)

Table 2. Som	e experin	nental param	eters	relating	to the
data collectio	ons and	refinements	for	$Ag_{1\cdot 22}$	$vCd_{v/2}$
$Al_{11}O_{17\cdot 1}$	y = 0.0	0, 0.10, 0.41	, 0.99	$\theta$ and $1 \cdot 1$	1

	y = 0.00	y = 0.10	y = 0.41	v = 0.99	$y = 1 \cdot 11$
F(000)	674.4	669.8	655-6	629.0	623-4
Cell parameters (Å)					
а	5-5914 (3)	5.6032 (2)	5-5959 (1)	5-5896 (1)	5-5900 (7)
с	22.430 (4)	22.504 (3)	22.458 (1)	22.434 (8)	22.396 (6)
Cell volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	607.29	611-88	609·03	607·01	606-07
No. of reflections for cell determination	25	24	24	24	24
$2\theta$ range ( )	14 30	14-30	14-30	25-30	25.30
$D_{\rm c}$ (Mg m <sup>-1</sup> )	3.84	3.78	3.71	3.56	3.53
Max./min. crystal	0.18/0.13	0-21/0-13	0.18/0.14	0-17/0-11	0.18/0.13
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	2.93	2.75	2.54	2.24	2.15
$\mu_{\mu}$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	2.88	2.73	2.54	2.24	2.08
Transmission	0.61-0.70	0.66_0.73	0.71_0.77	0.73 0.80	0.64 0.97
factors	001 070	000-075	0 / 1-0 / /	075 0 80	0.04-0.91
$(\sin\theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	1.07	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
hkl range h	~ 10 0	0 10	0 10	0-10	- 10-0
k	~ 10–0	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10
1	0-47	-48 0	- 48-0	0-48	0-48
K factor in empirical weighting scheme	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.04
Max. min. peak in final differ- ence map (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	2-11/-1-39	2-21/ - 2-01	1.67/ - 1.15	0.91/ 0.76	0.82/~1.5
No. of standard	5	5	5	5	5
reflections					
No. of observed reflections	2254	2454	2448	2061	4665
$R_{\text{int}} = \sum I_o = \overline{I}_o$	0.036	0.034	0.034	0.047	0.049
No. of unique reflections	1245	1293	1289	1184	1387
No. of parameters	53	55	56	52	59
No. of reflections used in refine-	1634	1859	1828	1598	3301
ment $[F_n^* > 2\sigma(F^*)]$					
$R(F_{a})$	0.0368	0.0321	0.0349	0.0410	0.0381
$\Gamma(\Gamma_0)$ $m P(E^2)$	0.0307	0.03/1	0.0459	0.0821	0.0765
wr.( <i>P</i> <sub>0</sub> )	0.0/90	0.0093	0.0698	0.08/4	0.0853
Max Alm	0.1	1.7.747	1.1018	1.0391	1.3850
what $\Delta 0$	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

atom fixed at a mid-oxygen (mO) site [midway between column-oxygens O(5)] in the conduction pathway and given an isotropic temperature factor, The interstitial Al(5) atoms were found above and below the conduction plane (at  $z \sim 0.175$  and  $z \sim$ 0.325) and their positional parameters were refined. The Al(5) occupation was initially refined; the value obtained corresponded to that of the fixed O(6), *i.e.* 0.11 per cell layer. The thermal vibrational description for Al(5) was constrained to be the same as that for Al(1) and the occupation of Al(1) was reduced by an amount corresponding to the occupation of Al(5). Remarkably, the total Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion content in the conduction plane refined without constraints to the expected value of 1.22. A cation charge of 1.22 was then assumed in the refinement of the mixed crystals.

In three mixed-ion structures, the  $Cd^{2+}$ -ion occupation was constrained to values obtained from the analyses and the Ag<sup>+</sup> content constrained to give a total cation charge in the conduction plane of 1.22. In the case of one composition, y = 0.99, the different models tested in the refinements gave a result

with more  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in the structure than obtained from analysis.

An initial crude picture of the conduction plane in the y = 0.10 case was obtained from a difference Fourier synthesis after the parameters for pure Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina were used to obtain the  $F_c$ 's. The best fit was obtained by assigning the electron density in the difference maps to Cd<sup>2+</sup> at a new 6(h) site (B site) (Figs. 2b and 2g and Table 3).\* The occupation of the BRD1 site could be kept to the same value as in Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina. The Ag<sup>+</sup> occupations of the other two sites were coupled in the refinement. Anisotropic  $\beta_{ij}$ 's were used for the description of the thermal vibration/displacement.

A crude picture for the y = 0.41 structure was obtained as for y = 0.10. The same Cd<sup>2+</sup> site was found and refined (Figs. 2c and 2h and Table 3). The Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion occupations of BRD1 and BRD2 [Ag(1) and Ag(2)] were coupled and the occupation of the Ag(3) site near aBR was fixed empirically to a value consistent with a clean Fourier difference map.

For the y = 0.99 crystal, Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions were again found in the *B* site, the site near aBR was almost empty and the remaining Ag<sup>+</sup> was found in the BRD1 site (Figs. 2*d* and 2*i*). Anisotropic  $\beta_{ij}$ 's were again used for Ag<sup>+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions. The Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion occupation of the BRD1 site was coupled to the Cd<sup>2+</sup> occupation of the *B* site. Some difficulties were experienced in these refinements as the total Cd<sup>2+</sup> content could not be made consistent with the analysis results. We were forced to conclude that this was indeed a real effect and that the small single crystal for the data collection did not have the same composition as the larger crystal from which it was removed (see Table 1).

The y = 1.11 crystal contained fewer Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions than expected on the basis of weight measurements but the refined result was consistent with results from the other analyses. The measured c axis (22.40 Å) (Table 2) compared to that of Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina (22.37 Å) (Edström *et al.*, 1991) supports the determination of the y = 1.11 composition. Also, the BR site is not empty, unlike the situation for Cd<sup>2+</sup>



Fig. 1. A schematic representation of the different sites occupied by  $Ag^+$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in the conduction plane.



Fig. 2.  $F_o$  syntheses for Ag<sub>1/22/y</sub>Cd<sub>y/2</sub>Al<sub>11</sub>O<sub>17/11</sub> with y = 0.00, 0.10, 0.41, 0.99 and 1.11 (*a*)-(*e*) The conduction plane at  $z = \frac{1}{4}$ , (*f*)-(*j*) the (*x*, -*x*, *z*) plane perpendicular to the conduction plane. Contour intervals are 2.2 e Å<sup>-3</sup>; zero contours omitted.

Table 3. Atomic positional parameters (  $\times 10^5$ ) in Ag<sub>1.22-y</sub>Cd<sub>y/2</sub>Al<sub>11</sub>O<sub>17.11</sub>

Equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for the spinel block [expressed as mean-square amplitudes,  $U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3}(U_{11} + U_{22} + U_{33}) \times 10^3$ ] and anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\beta_{11} \times 10^4$ ) for Ag' and Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions and the O(5) atom. For each atom, the five rows refer to compositions y = 0.00, 0.10, 0.41, 0.99 and 1.11, respectively.

	<b>C</b> '.	Fractional				$\beta_{11}$ or		0	0	0	0	0
<b>A</b> =(1)	Site	occupation	X 70476 (21)	J.	2	$U_{eq}(\mathbf{A}^{-})$		$\beta_{22}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{33}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{12}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{13}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{23}$
Ag(1)	O(n)	0.168 (7)	70476 (21)	- X	4	547 (5)		$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{11}$	8·9 (1) 8·5 (1)	286 (8)	U	0
		0.134(7)	70254 (44)			527 (8)			8.4 (1)	317 (9)		
		0.077 (1)	70540 (80)			807 (28)			6-8 (2)	- 147 (43)		
		0.037 (1)	68118 (168)		1	527 (11)			5.5 (2)	71 (4)		
Ag(2)	6( <i>h</i> )	0.105 (8)	77801 (145)	- x	4	577 (22)		$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{11}$	6.0 (2)	- 353 (19)	0	0
		0.087(2) 0.056(7)	75751 (165)			297 (16)			5.4 (2)	= 323(1) = 73(11)		
		0,000 (1)		-	-	-			-		-	-
		•	-	-	-	_				-		-
Ag(3)	6(h)	0.134 (1)	97628 (47)	<b>x</b>	4	655 (8)		$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{11}$	3.8 (1)	- 7.9 (15)	0	0
		0.079	97604			628 (3) 661 (5)			3.0 (1)	80·0 (5) 84·9 (14)		
		0 017		-		001 (5)			50(1)	-		-
		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	
Cd(B)	6( <i>h</i> )	-	-	-	-			-	0.0.10			-
		0.069	84069	- x	4	707 (23)		$\beta_{11}$	9.0 (5)	- 5/6 (1)	0	0
		0.165 (2)	85596 (41)			429 (4)			17.5 (2)	-78(5)		
		0.154 (5)	84827 (34)			473 (4)			14.5 (2)	- 101 (4)		
Cd(D)	12(k)	-	-	-				-	-		-	
		-			-	-		-	-			
		_	_	_	_	_			-	_	_	-
		0.003 (1)	82949 (38)	- x	0.2598 (1)	151 (9)		Bu	3.2 (4)	52 (8)	10.3 (6)	10.3 (6)
O(2)	12(k)		50288 (6)	- x	14646 (3)	7.8		<b>F</b> 11	,	(-)		
			50287 (5)		14652 (2)	6.9						
			50269 (6)		14662 (2)	7.2						
			50276 (6)		14/01 (3)	7.5						
O(3)	4(7)		2	ł	5536 (5)	6.5						
- (- )	() /		,		5543 (4)	5.5						
					5539 (4)	5.7						
					5587 (4)	5.7						
$\Omega(A)$	A( a)		٥	0	5584 (3)	5.3						
0(4)	4(2)		0	0	14299 (3)	4.7						
					14306 (4)	5.2						
					14352 (4)	5.2						
				_	14366 (3)	4-9						
AI(5)	12(k)	0.037	- 15959 (72)	2.x	17572.(33)	6.3						
			- 15975 (62)		17627 (32)	5.4						
			- 15930 (68)		17657 (32)	5.8						
			15968 (44)		17620 (22)	5.5						
O(6)	6( <i>h</i> )	0.037	5	6	4	3-0						
		0.037				3.0						
		0.037				3.0						
		0.037				3.0						
O(5)	2(c)	0 01.1	i	i.	1	905 (23)		B	1.1 (3)	B2	0	0
	6(h)		30292 (23)	·x	4	503 (33)		7-11	0.9 (2)	159 (22)	0	õ
	6(g)		29786 (5)			529 (29)			1.5 (2)	217 (20)	0	0
	6(h)		2/847 (43)			456 (8)			1.5 (4)	336 (21)	0	0
AI(1)	12(k)	0.963	··· 16800 (3)	2 r	10650 (1)	6.3			0.6 (2)	423 (19)	0	0
( . )	(*)	5,05	- 16798 (2)		10656 (1)	5.4						
			- 16796 (3)		10665 (1)	5-4						
			- 16798 (3)		10696 (1)	5.8	B-alum	ina (Fio	s 20 and	2i) Again	$Cd^{2+}$	ions were
41(2)	44.63		- 16792 (2)	,	10709 (1)	5.5	found		s. ze und			
AI(2)	4(7)		1	3	2495 (1)	5.1	iouna		es and A	ig ions at	BRDI	sites. A
					2485 (2)	4.7	second	$Cd^{2+}$ sin	te was ref	ined at a D	site, cl	ose to the
					2480 (2)	4.0	R site I	hut shift	ed out fr	om the cor	duction	nlane in
					2486 (1)	3.9		out sint				
<b>A</b> l(3)	<b>4</b> ( <i>f</i> )		3	ì	17573 (2)	7-4	the z di	rection.	i ne same	cype of site	e was al	so seen in
					17574 (2)	6.5	fully e	xchanged	$d C d^{2+} \beta$	-alumina.	The im	plications
					17595 (2)	7.3	of this	site are	not clear			
					17605 (1)	6.9		fun -4	mot cical.		<b>c</b>	
Al(4)	2( <i>a</i> )		0	0	0	5.6	Ine	iunction	ຼຸຫາກາຫມ	zed in all	rennem	ients was
						4.6	$\sum w( F_{*} )$	$ ^2 =  F_r ^2$	) <sup>2</sup> where	$w^{-1} = \sigma$	$r^{2}(F_{a}^{2}) =$	$\sigma_{c}^{2}(F_{c}^{2}) +$
						4.5	$(\bar{l}_{k}F^{2})^{2}$	For val	ues of be	ee Tahla ?	Reflect	ione with
						4.6	$E^{2}$	- +1	$3 - (r^{2})$			
0(1)	12(k)		15699 (6)	2 *	5020 (3)	4.4	$F_o^2$ les	s than	$2\sigma(F_o^2)$	were rem	loved 1	rom the
,			15711 (5)		5018 (2)	5.4	refinem	ents. An	n isotropi	c extinctio	n corre	ction was
			15707 (5)		5017 (2)	5.4	annlied	in all	refinemen	its. The co	herent	scattering
			15705 (5)		5033 (3)	5.5	applied					
			15711 (3)		5036 (2)	5-3	ampiitt	utes and	anomalo	us-aispersic	on term	s used for

Table 4. Some interatomic distances (Å) for  $Ag_{1\cdot 22 - y}Cd_{y/2}Al_{11}O_{17\cdot 11}$ , y = 0.00, 0.10, 0.41, 0.99 and 1.11;  $P6_3/mmc$  notation

	y = 0.00	y = 0.10	y = 0.41	y = 0.99	$y = 1 \cdot 11$
Ag(1)—O(6) (×1)	1.827 (1)	1.832 (1)	1.814 (2)	1.830 (4)	1.688 (8)
$Ag(1) - O(2) (\times 4)$	2.731(1)	2.738(1)	2.736(1)	2.721 (2)	2.765 (5)
$Ag(1) = O(5) (\times 2)$	3.060(1)	2.902(1)	2.885 (3)	2.777 (4)	2.907 (8)
$Ag(2) - O(6) (\times 1)$	2.347 (7)	2.352(1)	2.192 (8)		
$Ag(2) - O(2) (\times 4)$	2.713 (4)	2.720(1)	2.700 (4)		
Ag(2)-O(5) (×2)	2.847 (7)	2.636(1)	2.660 (8)		
Ag(2)—O(6) (×1)	3.271 (7)	3.277(1)	3-454 (8)		
Ag(3)—O(6) (×1)	1.740 (2)	1.739(1)	1.743 (1)		
$Ag(3) - O(4) (\times 2)$	2.411 (1)	2.418 (1)	2.413 (1)		
Ag(3)—O(5) (×2)	3.120 (2)	2.835 (2)	2.778 (2)		
$Cd(B) \rightarrow O(5) (\times 2)$		2.546(1)	2.501 (3)	2.335 (3)	2.328 (2)
$Cd(B) - O(6) (\times 1)$		2.698 (1)	2.695 (1)	2.607 (2)	2.671 (2)
$Cd(B) \rightarrow O(4) (\times 2)$		2.835(1)	2.829(1)	2.766 (1)	2.798 (1)
$Cd(B) \rightarrow O(2) (\times 4)$		2.865(1)	2.858(1)	2.882(1)	2.854 (1)
$Ai(1) - O(4) (\times 1)$	1-821 (1)	1.825 (1)	1.822 (1)	1.821 (1)	1.820 (1)
Al(1)—O(2) (×2)	1.828 (1)	1.833 (1)	1.831 (1)	1.830(1)	1.828 (1)
Al(1)O(3) (×1)	1-970 (1)	1-974 (1)	1-971 (1)	1-969 (1)	1-970 (1)
Al(1)—O(1) (×2)	2.020(1)	2.026(1)	2.024 (1)	2.024 (1)	2.024 (1)
$Al(2) - O(1) (\times 3)$	1.799 (1)	1.802(1)	1-801 (1)	1.800 (1)	1.799 (1)
Al(2) - O(3) (×1)	1.801 (1)	1.808 (1)	1.807(1)	1.810(1)	1.807 (1)
AI(3) -O(5) (×1)	1.666 (1)				
Al(3)- O(5) (×3)		1.697 (1)	1.702 (1)	1.744 (1)	1 743 (1)
Al(3) - O(2) (×3)	1.768 (1)	1.772 (1)	1·767 (1)	1.764 (1)	1.764 (1)
Al(4) -O(1) (×6)	1.892 (1)	I-897 (1)	1.894 (1)	1.894 (1)	1.894 (1)
Al(5)- O(6) ( * 1)	1.668 (7)	1.661 (6)	1.658 (6)	1.649 (7)	1.654 (5)
Al(5)—O(4) (×1)	1.711 (4)	1.722 (3)	1.710 (3)	1.711 (4)	1.709 (3)
Al(5)—O(2) (×2)	1.763 (4)	1.771 (3)	1.773 (2)	1.767 (3)	1.763 (2)

Al<sup>3+</sup>, O<sup>2-</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> were taken from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV). All computer programs used are described by Lundgren (1982).

Positional parameters and occupations are found in Table 2 and some important distances in Table 4.\*

#### **Results and discussion**

Two earlier independent room-temperature singlecrystal X-ray diffraction studies of non-stoichiometric (x = 0.3) Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina have suggested approximately equal occupation of three Ag<sup>+</sup> sites (Roth, 1972; Boilot, Colomban, Collongues et al., 1980). Our present study corroborates these results (Figs. 2a, 2f and 3a); 41, 33 and 26% of the 1.22  $Ag^+$  ions per cell layer are localized near BRD1, aBR and BRD2 sites [Ag(1), Ag(3), Ag(2), respectively]. A more detailed description of the local ordering of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions is, of course, desirable but such information is not directly available from our cellaveraged diffraction picture. Our result can serve, however, as a structural basis for the main aspect of this present study; namely, to exploit the stepwise exchange of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions into the Ag<sup>+</sup> arrangement as a source of additional information about the Ag<sup>+</sup>conduction mechanism in the  $\beta$ -alumina host.

#### General structural features

The c axis is initially observed to increase (v =0.10) and only then to reverse for higher Cd<sup>2+</sup> content (see Table 2). Such complex behaviour of the caxis is also reflected in the observed atomic arrangements within the conduction plane for different yvalues. Typically, the O(5) atom becomes more disordered (with the introduction of  $Cd^{2+}$  ions) from its 2(c) site (P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc notation) in Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina to 6(h) sites in all the Ag<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina compositions studied. Any diffraction study of a non-stoichiometric compound such as  $Ag^+ \beta$ -alumina suffers from severe overlap problems, implying that bond distances and effective atomic positions are intimately related to the model used to describe the observed electron density. A more detailed analysis of the effect of ion exchange of ions within the conduction plane must therefore take account of such factors rather than speculate upon *c*-axis variations. This must be borne in mind when discussing general structural trends in these materials [see our earlier discussion in Edström et al. (1991)].

In the y = 0.10 case (~8% of Ag<sup>+</sup> replaced by Cd<sup>2+</sup>), only the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions in the BRD2 and aBR sites are replaced (Table 2 and Figs. 2b and 2g). As more Ag<sup>+</sup> ions are replaced (y = 0.41), the occupations of all three Ag<sup>+</sup> sites diminish at roughly equal rates, so that by the time a large percentage of the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions have been replaced (the y = 0.99 and 1.11 cases) only BRD1 sites are occupied by Ag<sup>+</sup> ions (Figs. 2d, 2e, 2i and 2j). The Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions are seen to occupy positions displaced slightly from mO [O(6)] sites (Figs. 2e and 2j), as we have seen earlier for fully exchanged Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina [Figs. 2d and 2h in Edström et al. (1991)].

#### The rôle of the extra oxygen, O(6)

The rôle of O(6) is of prime interest in the discussion of a possible  $Ag^+-Cd^{2+}$  ion-exchange mechanism since O(6) significantly influences the  $Ag^+$ -ion arrangement in the conduction plane. Inspecting the immediate vicinity of O(6) (Fig. 3a), a BRD2 site is likely to be occupied by the nearest  $Ag^+$  ion [BRD2--O(6) 2.347 (7) Å]. The nearest aBR--O(6) and BRD1--O(6) distances are  $\sim 1.74$  and  $\sim 1.84$  Å, respectively, which are both too short to be valid  $Ag^+$ --O distances. The  $Ag^+$ -O distance in  $Ag_2O$  is  $\sim 2.04$  Å. The next nearest possible  $Ag^+$  sites are also at BRD2 sites, 3.271 (7) Å from O(6).

On the assumption that  $Ag^+$  ions are exchanged pairwise, *i.e.* that one  $Cd^{2+}$  ion exchanges two adjacent  $Ag^+$  ions, it is reasonable to suppose that the shaded pair in Fig. 3(*a*) is the first to be exchanged for a  $Cd^{2+}$  which, in turn, takes up a stable site roughly midway between the BRD2 and the aBR site, with a  $Cd^{2+}$ —O(6) distance of 2.695 (7) Å (see

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and details of molecular geometry and refinements have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 54081 (193 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

the v = 0.10 result). This distance can be compared with  $\sim 2.36$  Å in CdO. It would follow then that this selective replacement will continue until all BRD2aBR Ag<sup>+</sup> pairs have been removed, at around  $y \simeq$ 0.22. As further Ag<sup>+</sup> ions are replaced the occupations of all three Ag<sup>+</sup> sites diminish at roughly equal rates. By the time a large percentage of the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions have been replaced (the y = 0.99 and 1.11 cases), only BRD1 sites are occupied by  $Ag^+$  ions (Figs. 2d, 2e, 2i and 2i). A reasonable interpretation here is that, between the y = 0.41 and 0.99 cases, there exists a composition at which all BRD2-aBR pairs have been exchanged, and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions only remain at BRD1 sites. A simple extrapolation of the occupations given in Table 2 gives the composition at which this occurs to be roughly y = 0.88, *i.e.* 72% of the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions have been replaced by Cd<sup>2+</sup>. The occupation of the BRD1 sites then diminishes monotonically to zero as Cd<sup>2+</sup> exchange continues. Again, a simplistic picture would maintain the existence and identity of BRD2-aBR Ag<sup>+</sup> pairs up to their final disappearance. It may be more realistic to imagine, however, that as increasing numbers of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions move into the system, the local repulsion effect of their + 2 charges serves to disperse the residual Ag<sup>+</sup> pairs into positions of BRD1 type. The observation that all Ag<sup>+</sup> sites appear to deplete at approximately the same rate after this initial phase (up to  $y \sim 0.22$ ) would suggest that subsequent Ag<sup>+</sup>-pair exchange



Fig. 3. Local structurization for Ag<sup>+</sup> ions around O(6) in (a) Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina; the pair of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions first replaced for Cd<sup>2+</sup> is shaded in. The situation shown in (b) corresponds to y = 0.22, in which Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions have replaced the first Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion pair, and the remaining arbitrarily defined Ag<sup>+</sup> pairs are indicated with dashed lines.

occurs more or less randomly. It could well be that the  $Cd^{2+}$  ions which exchange first have a screening effect on O(6), such that other  $Ag^+$  pairs close to O(6) are insignificantly more stable with respect to  $Cd^{2+}$  substitution than  $Ag^+$  pairs more distant from O(6), see Fig. 3(b). The correctness of this simplistic interpretation is difficult to confirm, though we shall assume it to be the case hereafter.

# Comparison with the Na<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup> $\beta$ -alumina system

An entirely parallel study has been made of the Na<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina system (Edström *et al.*, 1988, 1991) and it is therefore opportune to make some comparisons. It is immediately clear that the two cases differ qualitatively. This results directly from the ability of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions, in spite of their larger formal radius (1.14 compared to 0.97 Å for Na<sup>+</sup>), to occupy the smaller aBR sites. This leads to a more extensive structural arrangement of the Na<sup>+</sup> pairs (Fig. 4) which then retain their identity throughout the Cd<sup>2+</sup>-exchange process. With the possible exception of an Ag<sup>+</sup> pair close to O(6), the identity of Ag<sup>+</sup> pairs (perhaps even the concept of pairs) is less well defined in the Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina structure.

It is also interesting to reflect on the repercussions of this difference on the ionic conductivity for the two mixed-ion systems. In Na<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina, the activation energy,  $E_a$ , goes through a minimum for low Cd<sup>2+</sup> exchange, before rising sharply on further Cd<sup>2+</sup> substitution (Sutter *et al.*, 1983). The present structural result would suggest a less-complex behaviour for Ag<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina, as a result of the less extended nature of the Ag<sup>+</sup> ordering around O(6). No reliable conductivity data are yet available for this system, however.

To summarize, it has been seen that differences in the nature of the local interactions of Na<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions with their chemical environments lead to qualitative differences in ionic arrangement and ionexchange mechanism. Implicit in this result is that ion-transport mechanisms in the Na<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup>  $\beta$ -alumina systems will also be qualitatively different in the two cases. A general observation is that conventional diffraction techniques have been successful here in exposing evidence for these differences.



Fig. 4. The sphere of greatest influence on the Na  $\dot{}$  ions around O(6) in Na  $\dot{}$   $\beta$ -alumina.

This research has been supported in part by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR) and in part by the United States Office of Naval Research under Contract no. N00014-81-K-0526. We are also grateful to our laboratory technician Hilding Karlsson for his skilled assistance.

#### References

BLESSING, R. H., COPPENS, P. & BECKER, P. (1974). J. Appl. Cryst. 7, 488-492.

BOILOT, J. P., COLOMBAN, P., COLLIN, G. & COMÈS, R. (1980). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 41, 47-54.

BOILOT, J. P., COLOMBAN, P., COLLONGUES, R., COLLIN, G. & COMÈS, R. (1980). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 41, 253–258.

BRUCE, J. A., HOWIE, R. A. & INGRAM, M. D. (1986). Solid State Ionics, 18-19, 1129-1133.

CATTI, M., CAZZANELLI, E., IVALDI, G. & MARIOTTO, G. (1987). Phys. Rev. B, 36, 9451-9460.

Acta Cryst. (1991). B47, 650-659

- EDSTRÖM, K., THOMAS, J. O. & FARRINGTON, G. C. (1988). Solid State Ionics, 28-30, 363-368.
- EDSTRÖM, K., THOMAS, J. O. & FARRINGTON, G. C. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 635-643.
- LEHMANN, M. S. & LARSEN, F. K. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 580-584.
- LUNDGREN, J.-O. (1982). Crystallographic Computer Programs. Report UUIC-B13-04-05. Institute of Chemistry, Univ. of Uppsala, Sweden.
- MCCANDLISH, L. E., STOUT, G. H. & ANDREWS, L. C. (1975). Acta Cryst. A31, 245-249.
- REIDINGER, F. (1979). PhD Thesis, State Univ. of New York, Albany, USA.
- ROTH, W. L. (1972). J. Solid State Chem. 4, 60-75.
- ROTH, W. L., REIDINGER, F. & LAPLACA, S. (1976). Superionic Conductors, edited by G. D. MAHAN & W. L. ROTH, pp. 223-241. New York: Plenum Press.
- SUTTER, P. H., CRATTY, L., SALTZBERG, M. & FARRINGTON, G. C. (1983). Solid State Ionics, 9–10, 295–298.
- TOFIELD, B. C. & FARRINGTON, G. C. (1979). Nature (London), 278, 438-439.
- YAO, Y. F. & KUMMER, J. T. (1967). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29, 2453-2474.

# Charge Densities in CoS<sub>2</sub> and NiS<sub>2</sub> (Pyrite Structure)

BY ELLEN NOWACK

Institut für Kristallographie, RWTH Aachen, Templergraben 55, D-5100 Aachen, Germany

# DIETER SCHWARZENBACH

Institut de Cristallographie, Université de Lausanne, Bâtiment des Sciences Physiques, Dorigny, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

## and Theo Hahn

Institut für Kristallographie, RWTH Aachen, Templergraben 55, D-5100 Aachen, Germany

(Received 23 November 1990; accepted 22 April 1991)

Dedicated to Professor Karl Fischer on the occasion of his 65th birthday

#### Abstract

The electron-density distributions in the pyrite-type structures of  $CoS_2$  and  $NiS_2$  have been determined from high-resolution single-crystal diffraction data  $[Ag K\alpha \text{ radiation}; \text{ resolution and temperature} (\sin\theta/\lambda)_{max} = 1.49 \text{ Å}^{-1} \text{ at } 295 \text{ K}$  for  $CoS_2$ ,  $1.63 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  at 135 K for  $NiS_2$ ]. The charge densities were refined using a multipolar deformation model [R(|F|) = 0.0119 and 0.0136, respectively]. The X-ray diffraction data of FeS<sub>2</sub> [Stevens, DeLucia & Coppens (1980). *Inorg. Chem.* **19**, 813–820; Ag  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $(\sin\theta/\lambda)_{max} = 1.46 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , room temperature] were refined using the same deformation model and program in order to facilitate comparison of the results [R(|F|) = 0.0176]. The main features of the result-

ing deformation maps agree well for all three structures. They consist of important maxima in the immediate vicinity of the metal atoms pointing towards the faces of the coordination octahedron. The heavier the metal atom, the smaller is the distance of the maxima from the atomic centre. These features are interpreted by a preferential occupation of the metal d orbitals which correspond to the cubic  $t_{2g}$  orbitals. An analysis of the *d*-orbital populations indicates that the symmetry of the electron distribution around the metal atom is in all cases very close to cubic, the site symmetry being  $\overline{3}$ ; the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals appear to be fully occupied by six electrons while the occupation of the  $e_g$  orbitals increases in the series Fe, Co, Ni and indicates covalent overlap with the S ligands.

0108-7681/91/050650-10\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography